

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *
9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
10 Plaintiff,) 02:03-CR-00010-LRH-PAL
11 v.)
12 JOSEPH COPPOLA,) ORDER
13 Defendant.)
14 _____)

15 Presently before the court is Joseph Coppola’s (“Coppola”) Motion for Recusal (# 195).
16 The United States has filed a response (# 207), and Coppola replied (# 209). Also before the court,
17 is Coppola’s Motion to Strike Response (# 208).

18 Recusal is governed by 28 U.S.C. § 144 and 455. Under § 144, a party seeking recusal must
19 set forth, in an affidavit, facts and reasons for the belief that bias or prejudice exists. *See* 28 U.S.C.
20 § 144. The standard for recusal under Sections 144 and 455 is “whether a reasonable person with
21 knowledge of all the facts would conclude that the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be
22 questioned.” *United States v. Studley*, 783 F.2d 934, 939 (9th Cir. 1986). The alleged prejudice
23 must result from an extrajudicial source; a judge’s prior adverse ruling is not sufficient cause for
24 recusal. *Id.* The challenged judge should rule on the legal sufficiency of the recusal motion in the
25 first instance. *Id.* at 940. The judge has a duty not to recuse when there is no sound reason to do so.

26 Coppola argues that this court is biased against him and should recuse on his pending 28

1 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. Coppola contends that he witnessed the court act with apparent bias and
2 partiality in favor of the prosecution. Coppola further states that he witnessed the court act in
3 collusion with the prosecutor in denying him a fair trial.

4 The court has carefully reviewed Coppola's points and authorities and finds no basis for
5 recusal. Coppola's conclusory allegations of bias are insufficient to warrant recusal. No reasonable
6 person with knowledge of all the facts would conclude that this court's impartiality might
7 reasonably be questioned. *See Studley*, 783 F.2d at 939.

8 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Coppola's Motion for Recusal (# 195) is hereby
9 DENIED.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Coppola's Motion to Strike Response (# 208) is hereby
11 DENIED.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED this 5th day of September, 2008.



14
15
16 LARRY R. HICKS
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26